

SEEQ Profiles by Mean Student Course Evaluations

Course-Section: DATA 601 01

Fall 2021

Invited: 20

Responded: 15

Instructor: Simsek, Ergun

Response Rate: 75.0 %

Frequencies*

Mean of :

Questions	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Instructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Section
Learning							4.77	4.43	4.28	4.28	4.47	4.77
1. I have found the course intellectually challenging and stimulating.	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	4.38	4.38	4.36	4.48	4.67
2. I have learned something which I consider valuable.	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	4.52	4.39	4.40	4.58	4.87
3. My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	0	0	1	0	1	13	4.73	4.40	4.12	4.09	4.38	4.73
4. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	4.44	4.24	4.28	4.44	4.80
Enthusiasm							4.82	4.28	4.22	4.27	4.43	4.82
5. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course.	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	4.39	4.45	4.49	4.59	4.80
6. The instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	4.35	4.28	4.36	4.50	4.93
7. The instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humor.	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	4.19	4.15	4.17	4.34	4.87
8. The instructor's style of presentation held my interest during class.	0	0	1	0	2	12	4.67	4.20	3.99	4.06	4.28	4.67
Organization							4.67	4.20	4.20	4.26	4.36	4.67
9. The instructor's explanations were clear.	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	4.21	4.18	4.24	4.34	4.60
10. Course materials were well prepared and carefully explained.	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	4.13	4.21	4.27	4.37	4.67
11. Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where the course was going.	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	4.26	4.32	4.36	4.45	4.73
12. The instructor gave lectures that facilitated taking notes.	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	4.20	4.08	4.18	4.28	4.67
Group interaction							4.73	4.50	4.35	4.41	4.60	4.73
13. Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions.	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	4.42	4.28	4.41	4.58	4.53
14. Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge.	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	4.49	4.33	4.41	4.60	4.73
15. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	4.49	4.41	4.45	4.60	4.80
16. Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor.	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	4.59	4.36	4.39	4.60	4.87
Individual rapport							4.75	4.47	4.41	4.41	4.56	4.75
17. The instructor was friendly towards individual students.	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	4.57	4.50	4.49	4.61	4.73
18. The instructor made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class.	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	4.57	4.44	4.44	4.58	4.87
19. The instructor had a genuine interest in individual students.	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	4.28	4.30	4.32	4.49	4.67

*For items 1 - 29: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Neutral, 4= Agree, 5= Strongly Agree, For items 30 - 32: 1= Very Poor, 2= Poor, 3= Average, 4= Good, 5= Very Good

SEEQ Profiles by Mean Student Course Evaluations

20. The instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class.	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	4.47	4.38	4.39	4.54	4.73
Breadth							4.62	4.24	4.31	4.32	4.45	4.62
21. The instructor contrasted the implications of various theories.	0	0	1	3	2	9	4.27	4.01	4.27	4.28	4.40	4.27
22. The instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class.	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	4.22	4.31	4.36	4.46	4.73
23. The instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate.	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	4.35	4.32	4.34	4.47	4.73
24. The instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field.	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	4.35	4.32	4.33	4.47	4.73
Examinations							4.62	4.18	4.19	4.24	4.38	4.62
25. Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable.	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	4.00	4.02	4.10	4.29	4.67
26. Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate.	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	4.24	4.22	4.29	4.41	4.60
27. Examinations/graded materials tested course content as emphasized by the instructor.	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	4.30	4.32	4.35	4.44	4.60
Assignments							4.70	4.37	4.24	4.30	4.47	4.70
28. Required readings/texts were valuable.	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	4.32	4.14	4.24	4.45	4.67
29. Readings, homework, laboratories contributed to appreciation and understanding of subject.	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	4.42	4.34	4.35	4.50	4.73
Overall							4.77	4.31	4.22	4.28	4.43	4.77
30. Compared with other courses I have had at UMBC, I would say this course is:	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	4.25	4.11	4.19	4.35	4.71
31. Compared with other instructors I have had at UMBC, I would say this instructor is:	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	4.31	4.25	4.31	4.45	4.80
32. As an overall rating, I would say the instructor is:	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	4.37	4.30	4.35	4.49	4.80

*For items 1 - 29: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Neutral, 4= Agree, 5= Strongly Agree, For items 30 - 32: 1= Very Poor, 2= Poor, 3= Average, 4= Good, 5= Very Good