

Planning 2

approaches

Chapter 11.1-11.3

Planning as State-Space Search

- Forward (progression) state-space search
 - Prone to exploring irrelevant actions
 - Uninformed forward-search in large state spaces is too inefficient to be practical
 - Need heuristics to make forward search feasible

Example: Air Cargo Problem

- 10 airports: each has 5 planes and 20 pieces of cargo
- Goal: Move all cargo at airport A to airport B
- Simple solution: Load 20 cargo onto plane₁ at airport A, fly to airport B, unload cargo
- Average branching factor is huge:
 - Each of 50 planes can fly to 9 airports
 - 200 cargo can be unloaded/loaded onto any plane at airport
 - In any state min. 450 actions, max. 10,450 actions
- If we take average 2000 possible actions per state, search graph up to obvious solution has 2000^{41} nodes

Backward Relevant-States Search

- Start at the goal, apply actions backwards until reach initial state
- Only consider actions that are **relevant** to the goal (or current state), i.e.
 - Action must contribute to the goal
 - Must not have any effect which negates an element of the goal
- Consider a **set** of relevant states at each step, not just a single state (cf. belief state search)

Backward Relevant-States Search

- Must know how to regress from a state description to a predecessor state
- PDDL description makes it easy to regress actions:
 - Effects added by action need not have been true before
 - Preconditions must have been true before
 - Do not `Del(a)` as we don't know whether or not fluents were true before
- Need to deal with **partially uninstantiated** actions and states, not just ground ones
- Backward search keeps branching factor lower than forward, but using state sets means it's harder to define good heuristics – so most current systems favor forward search

Heuristics for Planning

- Planning complex state representation, rather than ones, so we can define good domain-independent heuristics
- Admissible heuristics (i.e., not over-estimating) can be derived by defining a relaxed problem that's easier to solve
 - => Can use A^* search to find optimal solutions
- Exact cost of a solution to easier relaxed problem becomes a heuristic for the original problem
- Heuristic examples: ignore preconditions, state abstraction, problem decomposition...

Planning as Boolean Satisfiability

- Reduces planning problem to classical propositional SAT problem
- SAT problem: is a propositional formula satisfiable? (- is there an assignment that makes it true?)
- Making plans by logical inference
- To use SATPlan, PDDL planning problem description needs first to be translated to propositional logic

SATPlan

- SATPlan asks whether there exists any plan solving a given planning problem
 - SATPLAN is about satisficing (want any solution, not necessarily the cheapest or the shortest)
- Bounded SATPlan asks whether there exists a plan of length k or less
 - Can be used to ask for the optimal solution
- If we don't allow functional symbols in the PDDL, both problems are decidable

SATPlan Algorithm

1. Construct a propositional sentence that includes
 - a) Description of initial state
 - b) Description of the planning domain (precondition axioms, successor state axioms, mutual exclusion of actions) up to some maximum time N
 - c) Assertion that the goal is achieved at time N
2. Call SAT solver to return a model for this sentence
3. If a model exists, extract variables representing actions at each time from 0 to N and are assigned true, and present them in order of times as a plan

SOTA for Classical Planning?

- See the 2019 AAIL [tutorial](#) on the 2018 International Planning Competition for lots of details
- A system using the approach pioneered by SATPlan approach is good for finding an optimal plan
- The [Fast Forward](#) (FF) planner works well when satisficing is your goal
 - A forward chaining heuristic state space planner
 - It is the one used in Planning.Domains
 - Open source

Fín